Saturation Arithmetic

Ulrich Hoffmann <uho@xlerb.de>

EuroForth 2014 Palma de Mallorca

Overview

- What is saturation arithmetic?
- How to implement it in Forth?
- Demo
- Discussion

Problems with Circular Arithmetic

- Overflows and Underflows
  - undetected
  - detected and now what (closed loop control)

16bit: 30000 30000 + . → -5536

16bit: -10000 30000 - . → 25536

Saturation Arithmetic

- Idea:
  - Let there be a maximum/minumum values
    - if the calculation overflows use the max
    - if the calcualtion unterflows use the min

16bit: 30000 30000 +s . → 32767

16bit: -10000 30000 -s . → -32768

Arithmetic properties
monotonicity

for all $x \in \mathbb{Z},\ a \in \mathbb{Z}, a \geq 0$:

$$x + a \geq x$$

$$x - a \leq x$$

- **Does not hold** for circular arithmetic
- **Holds** for saturation arithmetic $(\mathbb{A})$

Arithmetic properties
associativity

for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$$

$$(a - b) + c = a - (b - c)$$

- **Holds** for circular arithmetic
- **Does not hold** for saturation arithmetic $(\mathbb{A})$

Strategies

- A priori
  - Detect over/underflow before calculating
  - return min/max if detected else calculate

- A posteriori
  - calculate
  - return min/max if calculation had over/underflow

Saturation Arithmetic for Forth

- A set of saturation operators

```
+s -s *s negate_s abs_s …
```

What about unsigned numbers?

What about unsigned numbers?

- Another set of unsigned saturating operators?

16bit: 30000 30000 +us u. → 60000

16bit: 40000 40000 +us u. → 65535

16bit: 10000 30000 -us u. → 0

Too many operators!

- Just two new words:

sat (x -- x | max)	signed saturation
usat (x -- x | umax)	unsigned saturation

- Let `+` `-` `*` set (internally) enough information so that `sat` and `usat` can work.

16bit: 30000 30000 + sat . → 32767

16bit: -10000 30000 - sat . → -32768

16bit: 30000 30000 + usat u. → 60000

16bit: 40000 40000 + usat u. → 65535

16bit: 10000 30000 - usat u. → 0

Has saturation happened?

- `usat` and `sat` set a flag **`usatq`** when saturation took place.
- Applications can check it to see if the results are exact.
- Applications must explicitly reset `usatq`.

Demo

Implementation

- 4e-Forth

```
;C +       n1/u1  n2/u2 -- n3/u3      add n1+n2
        HEADER  PLUS,1,'+',DOCODE
        ADD     @PSP+,TOS
        MOV     SR, &SRSAVE
        BIS     #1000h, &SRSAVE
        NEXT
```

- Implementation of – similar.

Implementation

- 4e-Forth

```
; SAT       x -- x
    HEADER  SAT,3,'SAT',DOCODE
            BIT     #100h,&SRSAVE     ; was overflow bit set?
            JZ      nosat
            BIT     #1h,&SRSAVE       ; check carry for over or underflow
            JZ      satovl
            MOV     #8000h, TOS
            jmp satsetq
satovl:     MOV     #7FFFh, TOS
satsetq: MOV     #-1, SATQ
nosat:    NEXT
```

- Implementation of `usat` similar.

Discussion

- Fewer error handling code as you can just continue to run.
- What to do with division by zero?
- Adding more tasks to + and – slows them down, even if you don't need saturation but
- Overall system-impact low
- As a kernel option or code generator configuration when saturation arithmetic is required

¿Questions?